

Nature of meeting:

**Teaching & Learning Committee** 

achievement for all Present: LD (Chair) , KM (Minutes), FJ, FM, BH, HL, ED, LT Apologies: KF & CE Next meeting: 25/4/17

Agenda/ items for this meeting	Items to be carried forward to future agendas

	Agenda Item/ Notes	Next Visible Actions	$\checkmark$
	Follow-up on actions from previous meeting and not on this agenda. Review of T and L Policy deferred.	Action: LD / CE to arrange review of T and L policy as CE not at meeting.	
	Multi-Disciplinary Team update deferred as FJ is about to hold a review meeting.	FJ - MDT review to be reported on at next meeting:	
1	Pupil Achievement		
	Presentation of End of Year 7 to end of year 9 progress data. FM explained each subject has an assessment.		
	<b>Challenge:</b> What does progress in PE look like in this school? It is skills based.		
2	Challenge: Is student progress really as strong as it looks? Reliability of Science as progress seems extreme? It is based on different criteria / framework.		
	KM: agreement that we will keep subject under review – new subject leader Jan 2017 & HAA new assessment framework.		
	Challenge: Is there the potential for any level 2 qualifications in the vocationally-accredited subjects (particularly Art & Design)?	<b>Action</b> : Review with new subject leaders and report back on any changes to accreditation (FM & LT).	
	Challenge: are we assessing skills that are transferable across subjects longer term? Response: Moderation: school could use internal experts from primary (and external validation.)	<b>Action:</b> report back to next committee on entry level qualifications achieved by years 11 students.	
	Challenge: Have we addressed the issue that led to ICT functional skills results being so far from target? . Response: the error was that the subject leader anticipated level 1 passes (L2 for some students) but some students did not achieve level 1 and did not have	<b>Action:</b> subject leader information to parent/carers on courses, accreditation and how to help.	
	entry level qualification to fall back on.	<b>Action:</b> FM distribute report & Headteachers report to governors for Summer 2017.	
	Year 12 2015-16 comparisons with 2014-15 100% have Y13 destinations (1 has a personalised timetable, others are on normal external provision). 95% accreditation passes and external (none last year)		

q:\administration\governors\committees + minutes\teaching and learning (formerly d&c)\t&l 16-17\16 january 2017\t and I minutes final 16 january 2017.docx

	Agenda Item/ Notes	Next Visible Actions	(
	ICT accreditation gained in Y12 where it was narrowly		
	missed in previous Y11		
	English very significant progress in reading and writing		
	students at level 1 for learners commencing at EL3. (not		
	enough to achieve level 1)		
	Duke of Edinburgh Award introduced 79% pass 100%		
	passes ¾ modules		
3	Update on year 11 2017 cohort		
	Very significant turnover in this cohort with only 50%		
	being on roll since start of Y7.		
	Challenge: are the year 7 -11 students doing better than		
	the newer ones?		
	<b>Response</b> : we will look at the progress made and return		
	to next committee meeting.		
	RAG Rating: progress towards targets: 2 students on		
	personalised plans with high risk profile overall.		
	Unit based accreditations harder to achieve if attendance		
	at lessons is compromised through poor attendance.		
	<b>Challenge</b> ICT and Science seem to be mainly green – is		
	this correct?		
	<b>Response</b> : SLT will monitor and report challenge: can we	Action: FM to distribute attendance alongside	
	see the link with attendance?	RAG ratings	
	Response: Change to IGCSE accreditation		
	Explanation of rationale for moving to Maths IGCSE for		
	this particular cohort: most able students tried both		
	IGCSE & the new 1-9 specification.		
	Challenge: Governors debated and agreed on guidance		
	that the IGCSE was in the best interest of the students		
	but wished to be kept informed about the Y10 cohort.		
	Y10 Targets for 2013 – 2018 were presented	Action: FM to review and report back	
	Need unpicking further especially looking at target		
	against prediction: first draft still under review, targets		
	set but not yet moderated.		
4	Homework Pilot Feedback	Action: LD to review with CE	
	Positive feedback from parent governor (EK)	CE to review use of learning platform with WM	
	Technical glitches at present.	-	
	<b>Discussion</b> of parental workshops for H/W to be		
	progressed.		
	Update on Ocean Maths workshops is primary based but	Action: CE / Katie put this in SDP	
	about working with families - when is a plan being put in	Action: FM to feed back on progress with	
	place?	Ocean Maths initiative	
	Swiss Cottage have a family Saturday - could we do the		
	same?		
5	QoTLA		
	Strengths – good positive relationships / questioning &	Action: CE to report back on revised	
	scaffolding / planning engaging learners.	Walkthrough format and LD to add to next	
	<b>Challenge</b> Do the Speech and Language therapists work	agenda	
	with teachers to construct lesson plans? They offer drop		
	in sessions around best practice.		
6	Revised T & L Roles (Interim Middle Leader Roles)		
	LT distributed draft outline which has been discussed	Action: LT to draft and agree T and L roles and	
	(Version 3). Permanent proposals to go to the Resources	BH / LT to take to Resources Committee.	
	-		

q:\administration\governors\committees + minutes\teaching and learning (formerly d&c)\t&l 16-17\16 january 2017\t and I minutes final 16 january 2017.docx

	Agenda Item/ Notes	Next Visible Actions	$\checkmark$
	Committee.		
7	Moderation of Fundamentals English internally moderated 18-01-17 External moderation tbc Maths external moderation W/C 23-01-17	Action: LD to add Year 7 Eng / Maths to agenda for next meeting Action: FM: circulate Moderator reports if the can be anonymised	
8	Visible Learning Feedback Impact Cycle 2: ED showed tracker on screen. All teachers choose from one of 3 research topics: Effective Feedback, Inspired & passionate teacher, The Visible Learner.		
	<ul> <li>Challenge: Are the students honest about their responses to questionnaire?</li> <li>Response: Yes provided the questions are meaningfully framed.</li> <li>Challenge: Are the questions understood by the children?</li> <li>Response: We have to reframe in order to make the questions relevant and useful.</li> </ul>		
	<b>Discussion</b> of the role of the Impact Coach - to support and guide other teachers using Visible Learning techniques. Over the next 2 terms Impact Coaches will be preparing a basic 'Visible Learning Handbook' for all new staff.	Action: Regular reporting as an SDP priority	
	Next meeting Tuesday 25 April	Action: BV to confirm with LD	